Honorable W. Henson Moore House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Moore:

On behalf of Secretary of Defense Harold Brown, I am replying to your September 21 letter suggesting that representatives of Laos be invited to visit the Joint Casualty Resolution Center and Central Identification Laboratory in Hawaii for consultations with U.S. experts at those facilities.

We have been advised that such an invitation was extended by Congressman Montgomery during the Special Committee's recent visit to Southeast Asia. In addition, several weeks ago the Department of State confirmed the invitation and is awaiting a response from the Laotian government. Consequently, there does not seem to be any need for a similar invitation from the Department of Defense. We are, nevertheless, interested in the matter as it is our continuing objective to do everything possible to obtain an accounting for all our missing servicemen.

Secretary Brown shares your concern and appreciates the noble aims of your Committee's visit to Southeast Asia. He hopes the invitation that has already been extended to Laos will be accepted, since the knowledge to be gained by Laotian representatives from both facilities could be helpful in their search and identification efforts.

Sincerely,

(Signed) Thomas F. Lambert

co: Legislative Affairs

PAFarris:gma:10-3-78:0ASD(PA)PC:2E777:x52065:#1287:0SD 10529

1978 SEP 27 FM 4: 47

OFFICE OF.
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

BELECT COMMITTEE ON NARCOTICS ABUSE AND CONTROL

BENJAMIN A. GILMAN

SUBCOMMITTEES INTER-AMERICAN AFFAIRS

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

Washington, D.C. 20515

September 27, 1978

The Honorable Harold Brown Secretary of Defense The Pantagon Washington, D.C.

Dear Secretary Brown:

I am writing to you about the proposed presumption of death hearing in the Nicholas Brooks case. After having met with several Navy personnel, and after having been refused an appointment with Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Edward Hildago, in the matter of the Nick Brooks case, I have been informed that the case of Nicholas Brooks, listed as missing in action since January 2, 1970 is scheduled for review on September 29, despite entreaties from myself, the Brooks family, and other Members of Congress, to delay this proceeding.

My request for a reasonable delay is based upon the following:

- 1. A prior request for a review of status change from M.I.A. to P.O.W. which should be considered separate, apart and before any presumption of death hearing. An application for a change of status from M.I.A. to P.O.W. should have been forthcoming years ago, but was not, and should not be determined simultaneously with a presumption of death designation.
- 2. I have requested an opportunity to make certain that all intelligence information which we have gathered is contained in Brooks's file at the time of a review hearing. Some of this information is still being sought and gathered together.
- 3. I have requested an explanation of why the Brooks case has been taken out of order. I have been informed that there are several cases much older than the Nick Brooks case, and that the Brooks case was moved up, not respecting the chronological order rule that has governed other reviews.

What disturbs me most is the insensitivity of the Defense Department in these status review cases. Each Naval officer I spoke with informed me that he understood the anguish that

PLEASE REPLY TO:

WASHINGTON OFFICE 1226 LONGWORTH BUILDING WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515 TELEPHONE (202) 225-3776

DISTRICT OFFICE 24 ROSERTS STREET MIDDLETOWN, NEW YORK 10940 TELEPHONE: (914) 343-6666

DISTRICT OFFICE. POST OFFICE BUILDING 217 LIBERTY STREET NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550 TELEPHONE: (914) 565-6400

DISTRICT OFFICE 223 ROUTE 59 MONSEY, NEW YORK 10952

USMA BOARD OF VISITORS

TELEPHONE: (914) 357-9000

the Brooks family was enduring, and yet, the maxim repeated over and again by Naval personnel was that these review cases were "unfinished business" which must be cleared up. The Brooks case is unique in several ways, but none of the Navy reviewing officers is willing to admit that there are certain inconsistencies which merit special attention.

11.

Mr. Secretary, this entire experience has been a nightmare for the Brooks family. It has caused disillusionment about the procedure and workings of your Department. When a Member of Congress is refused access to an Assistant Secretary of the Navy, and is told that a "flag officer" will come down to speak with us, I cannot believe that there is an understanding of the impact of a presumption of death hearing, nor a caring about the emotions and concerns of the family involved. We have received only lip service in this matter, shallow pathos and an attitude of "business before honor."

Thank you for your concern, and I await your response.

Sincerely,

BENJAMIN A. GILMAN Member of Congress

BAG: cmh



ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

INTERNATIONAL BECURITY AFFAIRS

70 September 1978

Honorable Benjamin A. Gilman House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Gilman:

This is in reply to your letter to Secretary Brown regarding the status review of Lieutenant Commander Nick Brooks; USN, MIA.

I have been advised by the Assistant Secretary of the Navy that although his schedule did not allow a meeting at the time requested, he regrets that you have interpreted his decision to dispatch Admiral Seiberlich and Captain Colgan to meet with you as an action unresponsive to a Member of Congress. As was explained to your staff, involvement of Assistant Secretary Hidalgo in this matter prior to conduct of the hearing would have compromised his ability to perform his duties as a review-renders the final decisions in these cases. The unfettered ability of the civilian Secretariat to fulfill their functions is a safeguard in protected.

Your request for a separate hearing for a review of status change from MIA to POW has been denied because it would serve no useful purpose either for the family of Mr. Brooks or for the Secretary of Defense; any evidence or argument to support the contention that LCDR Brooks is or was a POW may be presented at the scheduled hearing and will be fully and carefully considered by the Status Review Board.

The files of all government agencies dealing with casualty information pertaining to LCDR Brooks have been thoroughly searched and all information resulting from those searches has been made available to Mr. Brooks, with the exception of information withheld for national security reasons. All pertinent information, including that withheld from Mr. Brooks will be available to the Status Review Board for the examination prior to making a decision in the case. All such informatic has been identified and compiled for this purpose.



76

Of the twelve remaining Navy MIA cases, there were five men lost before Commander Brooks. The status reviews in all five cases are being delayed because of pending Freedom of Information Act requests. In the case of LCDR Brooks all FOIA requests have been completed, hence there is no longer any reason to delay the review of his case.

I regret that we cannot grant your request for a delay in the status review of LCDR Nick Brooks. In the interest of uniformity, fairness and equity to all next of kin of missing Americans, it is essential that we not deviate from our established policies and uniform procedures. Any impression of insensitivity on the part of this Department to the concerns of the Brooks family is unfortunate and unintended. As you know, a notice of hearing in this case was sent to Mr. George Brooks only after we had responded fully to his Freedom of Information Act request insofar as it pertained to LCDR Brooks' casualty status. The first notice gave Mr. Brooks 21 days in which to respond to the description of his right to a status review. He failed to return his hearing rights form and agree to a hearing date. A second notice allowing another 21 days for Mr. Brooks to express his intentions regarding a status review hearing again met with his failure to return his hearing rights form and agreement to a hearing date. At this point the rules of procedure permitted this Department to treat Mr. Brooks' silence as a waiver of his right to a hearing. Because of your expression of interest, however, we have not exercised this right, but instead scheduled the hearing for tomorrow morning at 9:00 A.M.

We believe that we have been as responsive to the Brooks family in our handling of this case as circumstances permit.

Sincerely,

Walter Slocombe Principal Deputy

rats form must be comple not later than 1200	SFP 29 1978 PLEASE EXPEDITE	
TO: CORRESPONDENCE	E CONTROL SECTION, OSD, ROOM 3A948	
ACTION HAS BE	EN COMPLETED (Copy attached).	
. ACTION HAS NO	T YET BEEN COMPLETED BECAUSE	
This is pack of individual fully aux	ensfer action to Capt. Ray Vohden a last ditch effort to make in its status review of this le. Case is not unique. Congrare of all background. Contact for in diagrams	/1 . 1 8 /
CCS CONTROL NUMBER	SIGNATURE OF REPORTING INDIVIDUAL AND ORGANIZATION	Colgan × 433-316
10688	5. L'Heatwole	51644
SD FORM 391	REPORT ON SECRETARY OF DEFENS	E CORRESPONDENCE

DO CHENCHEN CHENCHENCHENCHENCHENCHENCHEN PROPERTY PROPERT ON MERCINE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY O O II O ON THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF OF CHURCHOLDER CHORONORCHONORC る。またないできるようでしょうかいないないないないないないないない。